UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/587,540	06/04/2007	Yoshitaka Aoyama	52029	9682	
	7590 08/03/200 ns Berdo and Goodma	EXAMINER			
Suite 600 1300 19th Street N W Washington, DC 20036-1649			JENNISON, BRIAN W		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3742	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/03/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/587,540	AOYAMA ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	BRIAN JENNISON	3742		
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Description of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 A     This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims				
4)  Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ Application Papers	awn from consideration.			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	or			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the defendance of a drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D: 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Art Unit: 3742

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see page 1, filed 4/14/2009, with respect to claims 5, 9,

11, 13, 15 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The objections and 112

rejections of claims 5, 9, 11, 13, 15 have been withdrawn.

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-15 have been considered but are

moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that

form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United

States.

4. Claims 1-4, 6-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by

Aoyama et al (US 2003/0189033).

Aoyama teaches:

Regarding Claim 1: Fig 1 shows a welding system with a movable electrode 34. The

part feeding device is integrated by board 7 which acts as a coupling device. The term

integrated does not hold patentable weight and since this term is used the parts may be

attached by any means.

Regarding Claim 2: An arm 53 is used to fix the welding device to a stationary robot.

**Regarding Claim 3:** Fig 1 shows a drive unit 54 which acts as drive means. Shaft 26 is a fixed shaft which is integrated through board 7. The system is also capable of rotating support member 27. **See Paragraph 0009.** 

**Regarding Claim 4:** the board 7 acts as a coupling member and is attached to the arm 53 which is a fixing member

**Regarding Claims 6 and 7:** The part projected may easily be a bolt or a nut as shown in the figures and described in paragraphs 0005 and 0006.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 6. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Aoyama et al in view of Aoyama (US 4,943,098).

The teachings of Aoyama et al have been discussed above.

Aoyama et al also teaches: (movable electrode 10 and feed apparatus 14 are integrated through coupling bracket 20. See Paragraph [0058], Lines 7-8)

Application/Control Number: 10/587,540

Art Unit: 3742

Aoyama et al fails to teach:

Regarding Claim 5: The welding system according to claim 1, wherein a plurality of

Page 4

part feeding devices each of which feeds a different type of part are attached to the

coupling member or an auxiliary member integrated with the coupling member.

Aoyama teaches:

Regarding Claim 5: Figs 24 and 25 show two part feeding devices which would be

attached to a coupling bracket each feed rod 9 supplies part P or P'. (See Column 8,

Lines 59-65.)

In view of the teachings of Aoyama it would have been obvious to one of ordinary

skill in the art at the time of the invention to include with the teachings of Aoyama et al.

the plurality of part feeding devices since Aoyama teaches the part supply rods for

feeding a nut and a bolt to be welded.

1. Claims 8-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Aoyama et al in view of Quinci et al (US 5,396,842) and Aoyama et al

US(2003/0127432).

The teachings of Aoyama et al have been discussed above.

Aoyama et al fails to teach:

Art Unit: 3742

Regarding Claims 8 and 12:

and an auxiliary clamp block for setting a moving distance of the support rod in advance

is disposed over or under the clamp block in such a manner that the auxiliary clamp

block penetrates the support rod.

Regarding Claims 11 and 15: The welding system (or positioning system) according to

claim 8, wherein the part feeding device is a device that feeds a part held by the feeding

rod to the fixed electrode or the movable electrode of the welding device, in order to

weld the part fed between the fixed electrode and the movable electrode by the feeding

rod to the target part.

Regarding Claims 9 and 13: wherein the clamp block has a penetration hole through

which the support rod with a circular cross section penetrates, a slit section continued

from the penetration hold, and a fixing bolt penetrating the slit section.

Regarding Claims 10 and 14: wherein: the auxiliary clamp block has a penetration

hole through which the support rod penetrates, a slit section continued from the

penetration hole, and a fixing bolt penetrating the slit section; and an end face of the

auxiliary clamp block can abut to an end face of the clamp block.

Aoyama et al ('432) teaches:

Art Unit: 3742

Regarding Claims 8 and 12: The welding system according to claim 1, wherein a support rod which is attached to the part feeding device and extends approximately in a vertical direction penetrates a clamp block fixed on the stationary member (A rod 16, fixed by arm 8, capable of being extended in a vertical direction penetrates bracket 17. See Fig 1. and Paragraph [0042].) and the clamp block clamps and loosens the outer periphery of the support rod to set the vertical position of the support rod, (The bracket 17 is capable of loosening the rod 16.)

Page 6

Regarding Claims 11 and 15: The welding system (or positioning system) according to claim 8, wherein the part feeding device is a device that feeds a part held by the feeding rod to the fixed electrode or the movable electrode of the welding device, in order to weld the part fed between the fixed electrode and the movable electrode by the feeding rod to the target part. (Projection bolt feed apparatus 14 feeds shank 2 by part feeding rod 18. The shank is fed to fixed electrode 11 and movable electrode 10.

The part is fed to be welded by electrodes 10 and 11. See Paragraph [0064])

Quinci et al teaches: (applicant merely states Quinci fails to teach these limitations but they are clearly described below. The applicant simply restates the invention.)

Regarding Claims 8 and 12: Fig 8 shows a shaft 12 extending through a clamp 24 and a gripper block 26 or auxiliary clamp capable of setting a moving distance for the rod. It may be loosened and or tightened (See Column 3, Lines 11-15)

Art Unit: 3742

**Regarding Claims 9 and 13:** Fig 8 shows a clamp 24 with cylindrical passage 36 which rod 12 penetrates and space 42 or slit section continued from the penetration hole

where screw 44 penetrates the slit section. (See Column 3, Lines 28-46)

Regarding Claims 10 and 14: The auxiliary clamp is merely a duplication of the clamp

block which functions as an aid to the clamp block. The shaft 12 supports a plurality of

clamp arms 24 which would abut the end face of each other. A second clamp arm would

be considered and auxiliary clamp.

In view of Quinci et al's teachings it would have been obvious to one of ordinary

skill in the art at the time of the invention to include with the teachings of Aoyama et al,

the clamp and auxiliary clamp since, Quinci teaches a clamp and a gripper to open or

close a passage to fix the clamp to the shaft or release it.

It would also have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time

of the invention was made to include an auxiliary clamp, since it has been held that

mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in

the art.

Art Unit: 3742

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BRIAN JENNISON whose telephone number is (571)270-5930. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:00AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tu Hoang can be reached on 571-272-4780. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/BRIAN JENNISON/ Examiner, Art Unit 3742

7/30/2009 /TU B HOANG/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3742